A STEADY EULER FLOW WITH COMPACT SUPPORT

A.V. Gavrilov



Abstract. A nontrivial smooth steady incompressible Euler flow in three dimensions with compact support is constructed. Another uncommon property of this solution is the dependence between the Bernoulli function and the pressure.

1 Introduction

A steady flow of an ideal fluid in \mathbb{R}^3 is a solution of the Euler equation

$$(u \cdot \nabla)u = -\nabla p$$
, div $u = 0$.

At present, it is not known if smooth nonzero solutions of this equation with compact support $0 \neq u \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ exist [Nad14,NV17]. The problem is trivial in two dimensions where there are obvious vortex-like solutions. In three dimensions, only a few results are known, all on the negative side. It is known that such a flow cannot be Beltrami [Nad14, CC15], and it cannot be axisymmetric without swirl [JX09]. Recently, Nadirashvili and Vladut found some other restrictions [NV17].

Apparently,¹ weak solutions with compact support may be constructed using methods of [CS14]. Also, there is a considerable literature about vortex rings which are solutions with compactly supported vorticity (e.g. [AS89]). Opinions have been expressed that in three dimensions there are no smooth solutions with compact support besides u = 0. The main goal of this paper is to show that it is not true.

Theorem 1. There exists a nontrivial smooth steady Euler flow in \mathbb{R}^3 with support in an arbitrarily small neighbourhood of a circle.

We give below an explicit description of an axisymmetric flow with compact support. This solution has also other unusual properties discussed in the last section.

2 Some Differential Equations

In this section we find solutions of some differential equations which will be used later.

¹ The author is no expert in this area.

2.1 Ordinary differential equation.

Lemma 1. The singular Cauchy problem

$$3x\psi'' + 6x(\psi')^3 - 4\psi(\psi')^2 - 3\psi' = 0; \ \psi(0) = 1, \psi'(0) = -\frac{3}{4}$$
 (1)

has a unique analytic solution $\psi(x)$ in a neighbourhood of x=0.

Proof. The equation (1) becomes first order in variables $t = x\psi^{-2}$ and $v = \psi\psi'$,

$$t\frac{dv}{dt} = v\left(\frac{4}{3}v + 1\right) + \frac{tv^2(2v + 9)}{3(1 - 2tv)}.$$

Denoting $w = v + \frac{3}{4}$ we may write this equation as $t\frac{dw}{dt} = -w + f(t, w)$ where the function f is analytic and $f(0,0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial w} f(0,0) = 0$. By [Hil97, Theorem 11.1] there is an unique analytic solution v(t) such that $v(0) = -\frac{3}{4}$. The Cauchy problem $\frac{d\psi}{dx} = \frac{1}{\psi}v\left(\frac{x}{\psi^2}\right)$, $\psi(0) = 1$ clearly has an unique solution.

From now ψ always means the function defined by (1). The Taylor series of this function is 2

$$\psi(x) = 1 - \frac{3}{4}x + \frac{9}{128}x^2 - \frac{21}{1024}x^3 + \frac{1035}{131072}x^4 - \frac{1809}{524288}x^5 + O(x^6).$$

Denote

$$F(x,\alpha) = -2x\psi(\alpha) + 2x^3, \ H(\alpha) = 6\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\psi'(\alpha)} + 2\psi(\alpha)\right),$$
$$G(x,\alpha) = 12x^2\alpha - F^2(x,\alpha) - H(\alpha).$$

Note that at $(x, \alpha) = (1, 0)$ we have F = G = 0 and

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = 4, \ \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{3}{2}, \ \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} = 0, \ \frac{\partial G}{\partial \alpha} = 8.$$

We will also need the following fact.

Lemma 2. The functions F, G satisfy

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial x} + F \frac{\partial G}{\partial \alpha} = 2G \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha},\tag{2}$$

$$x\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} - F = 4x^3. (3)$$

Proof. The part (3) is trivial; (2) boils down to the formula

$$H'(\alpha) = 24\alpha\psi'(\alpha) + 4\psi(\alpha),$$

equivalent to (1).

This series is for a reader's convenience. In the proof we use $\psi'(0) = -\frac{3}{4}$ but not higher derivatives.

2.2 Partial differential equations.

Lemma 3. The system³

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\alpha = F(x,\alpha), \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\alpha\right)^2 = G(x,\alpha),$$
 (4)

has a unique analytic solution $\alpha(x,y)$ in a neighbourhood of the point (x,y)=(1,0) such that $\alpha(1,0)=0$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\alpha\not\equiv 0$.

Proof. It is convenient to introduce an *ad hoc* variable s and to consider x and α functions of (F, s), where $G = s^2$ by definition. (This is possible because $\frac{\partial(F, G)}{\partial(x, \alpha)}(1, 0) = 32 \neq 0$.) Consider a differential form

$$\kappa = \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} dF + \left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial s} - F \frac{\partial x}{\partial s}\right) \frac{ds}{s}.$$

It is analytic near the origin of the (F,s) plane (because $s^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}=2\frac{\partial}{\partial G}$). We have the relation (2) which in the new variables takes the form

$$F\frac{\partial x}{\partial F} + s\frac{\partial x}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial F}.$$

It follows that

$$d\kappa = \frac{1}{s} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(F \frac{\partial x}{\partial F} + s \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial F} \right) ds \wedge dF = 0.$$

By the Poincaré lemma, there is a unique analytic function $\Phi(F, s)$ such that $\Phi(0, 0) = 0$ and $\kappa = d\Phi$.

This form is odd with respect to the second variable, in the sense that $\sigma^* \kappa = -\kappa$ where $\sigma: (F, s) \mapsto (F, -s)$. If γ is a path connecting the origin (0, 0) to a given point (F, s), then

$$\Phi(F, -s) = \int_{\sigma\gamma} \kappa = \int_{\gamma} \sigma^* \kappa = -\int_{\gamma} \kappa = -\Phi(F, s).$$

We have $\Phi^2(F, s) = \Phi^2(F, -s)$, hence the square Φ^2 is a well defined analytic function of F and $G = s^2$. Now we can change the variables back and denote $f(x, \alpha) = \Phi^2$. We have f(1, 0) = 0 (essentially, by assumptions).

Near the origin $\Phi(F,s) = (\frac{1}{4} + O(F)) s + O(s^3)$, hence

$$\frac{\partial \Phi^2}{\partial F}(0,0) = 0, \ \frac{\partial \Phi^2}{\partial G}(0,0) = \frac{1}{16},$$

³ Note that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ means $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}|_{\alpha}$ when applied to F or G but $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}|_{y}$ when applied to α . To avoid a (very common) inconsistency in notation we write this partial derivative as $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ for the former and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}f$ for the latter.

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} f(1,0) = \left(\frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial F} + 8 \frac{\partial}{\partial G}\right) \Phi^2(0,0) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

By the implicit function theorem, in a neighbourhood of the origin there is a unique analytic function of two variables $\alpha(x, y)$ such that $\alpha(1, 0) = 0$ and

$$f(x, \alpha(x, y)) = y^2.$$

From (2) and the definition of κ we have

$$sd\Phi = d\alpha - Fdx.$$

Now, in the variables (x, y) we have $\Phi^2 = y^2$, hence $d\Phi^2 = dy^2$ and $d\Phi^2 = dy^2$

$$(d\alpha - F(x,\alpha)dx)^2 - G(x,\alpha)dy^2 = 0.$$

This equality implies (4) (and is essentially equivalent to it).

REMARK 1. In Lemma 3, the condition $\alpha(1,0) = 0$ is crucial. In this case we cannot take the square root of the second equation, and solving the system is more difficult then for $\alpha(1,0) > 0$. Unfortunately, in the latter case the function α would have no extrema, and the Euler flow u in the following section could not be extended to the whole space.

REMARK 2. Note that $\alpha(x,y) = \alpha(x,-y)$ pretty much by definition. An interesting consequence is $G(x,\alpha(x,0)) = 0$. (Which follows from (4) and $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\alpha(x,0) = 0$.) Because of this, the function $\alpha(x,0)$ is actually another analytic solution of (4).

REMARK 3. In the given proof, the main technical difficulty is the absence of an inverse map to $(F,s)\mapsto (x,\alpha)$. We circumvent this obstacle by artificially constructing a function $\Phi^2(F,s)$ with a well defined "pullback". One of the referees pointed out that there is a more straightforward (although not unrelated) proof using the Cartan–Kähler Theorem. We may consider a form $\omega=d\alpha-pdx-qdy$ on a manifold of dimension 3 defined by equations

$$p = F(x, \alpha), q^2 = G(x, \alpha)$$

in variables x, y, α, p, q . This form satisfies the integrability condition $\omega \wedge d\omega = 0$, so we can use it to construct the function $\alpha(x, y)$. (An important detail is that ω/q is analytic.)

REMARK 4. The method of the proof is constructive and may be used to compute the Taylor series

$$\alpha(x,y) = 2(x-1)^2 + 2y^2 + 3(x-1)^3 + 3(x-1)y^2 + O((|x-1|+|y|)^4).$$

The first two terms are important, so it may be appropriate to include a direct computation of them.

As customary, dy^2 actually means $dy \otimes dy$, a tensor square.

LEMMA 4. The function $\alpha(x,y)$ has a strict local minimum at (x,y)=(1,0).

Proof. The first derivatives at this point are zero by (4). We have

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}F = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = 4, \ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y}\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}F = \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\alpha\right) = 0.$$

Finally, (at any point) we have the equality

$$\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial \alpha}\right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\alpha\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}G = 2\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\alpha\right) \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\alpha\right).$$

As the derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\alpha$ is not identically zero, it implies

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2}\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial G}{\partial \alpha}.$$

At the point under consideration we have then $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\alpha = 4$. The second differential $d^2\alpha$ is positively definite, so this point is a strict minimum.

3 The Flow

We use the standard cylindrical coordinates.⁵ For a velocity field with axial symmetry the Euler equation $(u \cdot \nabla)u = -\nabla p$ takes the form

$$\begin{cases}
 u_{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} u_{\rho} + u_{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} u_{\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} u_{\varphi}^{2} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} p, \\
 u_{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} u_{\varphi} + u_{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} u_{\varphi} + \frac{1}{\rho} u_{\rho} u_{\varphi} = 0, \\
 u_{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} u_{z} + u_{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} u_{z} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} p.
\end{cases} (5)$$

For R > 0, denote $a = \alpha\left(\frac{\rho}{R}, \frac{z}{R}\right)$. For the sake of convenience, we denote by \mathcal{C} the circle $\rho = R, z = 0$ where a = 0. Let

$$p = \frac{aR^4}{4}, b = \frac{R^3}{4}\sqrt{H(a)}, u = \frac{1}{\rho}\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial z}e_\rho - \frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho}e_z + be_\varphi\right).$$
 (6)

(Note that b is not smooth on C.) Obviously, div u = 0 outside C.

LEMMA 5. The fields (u, p) given by (6) satisfy (5) in a neighbourhood of C (but not on the curve itself).

⁵ Alternatively, one may use toroidal coordinates (which is in a sense more natural). However, they do not seem to offer any real advantage because the surfaces p = const are not actual tori (with circular section).

Proof. The second equation of (5) is obvious. The last one is equivalent to

$$x\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\alpha\right)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x\partial y}\alpha + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\alpha\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\alpha + 4x^3 - x\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\alpha\right) = 0,$$

where $x = \frac{\rho}{R}$, $y = \frac{z}{R}$ and $\alpha = \alpha(x, y)$. After multiplication by $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\alpha$, using (4) and

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial x} + F \frac{\partial G}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \alpha \right)^2 = 2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \alpha \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} \alpha$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{2}xF\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial x} + F\frac{\partial G}{\partial \alpha}\right) + G\left(F + 4x^3 - x\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} + F\frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha}\right)\right) = 0,$$

which follows from (2), (3).

Finally, the first equation is

$$x\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\alpha\right)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\alpha - x\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\alpha\right)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x\partial y}\alpha + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\alpha\right)^2 - 4x^3\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\alpha + H(\alpha) = 0,$$

or

$$\frac{1}{2}xF\frac{\partial G}{\partial \alpha} - \frac{1}{2}x\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial x} + F\frac{\partial G}{\partial \alpha}\right) + G - 4x^3F + H(\alpha) = 0,$$

which is again a consequence of (2), (3).

As introduced, this Euler flow is only defined in a vicinity of the circle \mathcal{C} . However, this flow satisfies an additional condition $u \cdot \nabla p = 0$ which is very useful for our purposes. Consider another field $\tilde{u} = \omega(p)u$ where ω is a smooth function. Due to the above condition we have

$$\operatorname{div} \widetilde{u} = \omega(p) \operatorname{div} u + \omega'(p)(u \cdot \nabla p) = 0$$

and

$$(\widetilde{u} \cdot \nabla)\widetilde{u} = \omega^2(p)(u \cdot \nabla)u + \omega(p)\omega'(p)(u \cdot \nabla p)u = -\omega^2(p)\nabla p.$$

So, regardless of a choice of the function ω , the field \widetilde{u} is also an Euler flow, with the corresponding pressure determined by $d\widetilde{p} = \omega^2(p) dp$.

Due to Lemma 4, we may assume that $\omega = \omega(p)$ in a vicinity of the circle \mathcal{C} and $\omega = 0$ outside this domain. If $\operatorname{supp}(\omega) \subset [\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon]$ (as a function of p) with $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, then we have $\widetilde{u} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. The new flow is supported in a toroidal domain which can be made arbitrarily close to the circle. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

REMARK 5. It should be noted that the poloidal stream function $\Psi = a$ is a solution of the Grad-Shafranov equation in the following form (R = 1)

$$(\partial_{\rho\rho} + \partial_{zz} - \frac{1}{\rho}\partial_{\rho})\Psi = 10\rho^2 - \frac{1}{2}H'(\Psi).$$

⁶ This is probably what an expert would expect in this situation, but the author does not know an appropriate reference to make it a meaningful discussion.

4 Generalized Beltrami Flows

The condition $u \cdot \nabla p = 0$ mentioned above means that the pressure is constant along a streamline. This is very uncommon, and the only other nontrivial example known to the author is a flow on the 3-sphere constructed in [KKP14]. (The trivial examples are vortices (rotational flows) and their variations.) By the Bernoulli theorem $|u|^2$ must also be a first integral; indeed, from (6), (4) and the definition of G we have

$$|u|^2 = \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left[\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho} \right)^2 + b^2 \right] = 3p.$$

For the modified flow \widetilde{u} the formula is different but $|\widetilde{u}|^2$ is still a function of the pressure \widetilde{p} .

Recall that for a Beltrami flow u the Bernoulli function $B = p + \frac{1}{2}|u|^2$ is constant. The case when the Bernoulli function depends on the pressure may be considered a generalization, and constructed flows belongs to this category ($B = \frac{5}{2}p$ for the original flow). As was pointed out by Arnold [AK99][II.1.B], for a non-constant B both the streamlines and the vortex lines lie on the surfaces B = const; in our situation these are the same as p = const. It makes a difference because in this case the flow sheets become independent in a sense, so the flow may be "modulated" (a trick we used in the previous section).

One of the referees pointed out to the author that a generalized Beltrami flow (with an extremum of pressure at some point) has a peculiar restriction on the behaviour of the pressure. Let (u,p) be such a flow, and assume that $|u|^2 = 3p$ as before (we can do this without loss of generality). By the same recipe as above we may then construct another flow (\tilde{u}, \tilde{p}) . If it has compact support then (e.g. [CC15])

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\widetilde{u}|^2 + 3\widetilde{p}) \, dx = 0.$$

To make sense of this it is convenient to introduce a function $V(c) = Vol(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : p(x) \le c\})$. The equality then becomes

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (p\omega^2(p) + \widetilde{p}) \, dx = \int \omega^2(p) (p \, dV(p) - V(p) \, dp) = 0.$$

It must be frue for any function ω which means $V(p) = p \cdot \text{const.}$

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for pointing out the interesting work of Khesin, Kuksin, and Peralta-Salas [KKP14] as well as some properties of the given solution.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- [AK99] V.I. Arnold and B.A. Khesin. *Topological Methods in Hydrodynamics*. Springer, Berlin (1999).
- [AS89] A. Ambrosetti and M. Struwe. Existence of steady vortex rings in an ideal fluid. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 108 (1989), 97–109.
- [CC15] D. CHAE and P. CONSTANTIN. Remarks on a Liouville-type theorem for Beltrami flows. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2015 (2015), 10012–10016.
- [CS14] A. CHOFFRUT and L. SZEKELYHIDI. Weak solutions to the stationary incompressible Euler equations. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 46 (2014), 4060–4074.
- [Hil97] E. HILLE. Ordinary differential Equations in the Complex Domain. Dover Publications, Mineloa (1997).
- [JX09] Q. Jiu and Z. Xin, Smooth approximations and exact solutions of the 3D steady axisymmetric Euler equations. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 287 (2009), 323–350.
- [KKP14] B. Khesin, S. Kuksin, and D. Peralta-Salas, KAM theory and the 3D Euler equation. *Adv. Math.* 267 (2014) 498–522.
- [Nad14] N. Nadirashvili. Liouville theorem for Beltrami flow. Geom. Funct. Anal. 24 (2014), 916–921.
- [NV17] N. NADIRASHVILI and S. VLADUT. Integral geometry of Euler equations. Arnold Math. J. 3 (2017), 397–421.

A.V. Gavrilov, Department of Physics, Novosibirsk State University, 2 Pirogov Street, Novosibirsk Russia 630090 gavrilov19@gmail.com

Received: April 29, 2018 Revised: July 28, 2018

Accepted: September 23, 2018